

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 / www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/787,265	02/26/2004	Scott A. Martin	7784-000639	5155
27572	7590 10/18/2005		EXAM	INER
•	DICKEY & PIERCE,	& PIERCE, P.L.C. DURAND, PAUL R		
P.O. BOX 828 BLOOMFIELD HILLS, MI 48303			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		3721	

DATE MAILED: 10/18/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			E	
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/787,265	MARTIN ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Paul Durand	3721	
eriod	The MAILING DATE of this communication a for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address	
WH - Ext afte - If N - Fai An	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REFICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING tensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. We period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period liture to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stat y reply received by the Office later than three months after the main three materials are the main three materials. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO ute, cause the application to become A	ICATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
1)[∑	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21	July 2005		
2a)□	-	nis action is non-final.		
3)[_			tters, prosecution as to the merits is	
<u> </u>	closed in accordance with the practice unde			
Disnosi	ition of Claims		· •	
•	Claim(s) <u>13-17</u> is/are pending in the applicat	tion		
7/2	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd			
5)[•		•	
6)⊠	•			
7)	1		·	
8)[_	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	i/or election requirement.		
,—	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	:		
Applica	ition Papers			
•	The specification is objected to by the Exami			
10)⊠	The drawing(s) filed on <u>2/26/2004</u> is/are: a)			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
_	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the com-			
11)∟	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
]Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	gn priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
	1.☐ Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received.		
	2. Certified copies of the priority docume		Application No	
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the pr			
	application from the International Bure			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date __

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)

Attachment(s)

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.

6) Other: ____.

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/787,265

Art Unit: 3721

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of invention III, claims 13-17, in the reply filed on 7/21/2005 is acknowledged.

Claims 1-12 are cancelled as detailed in the response filed 7/21/2005

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 13-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stratman (US 2,854,871) in view of Wells (US 5,071,293).

In regard to claim 13, Stratman discloses the invention as claimed including providing compressed fluid to a tool, which is diverted to a valve assembly in the form of bleed valve 3, where in the first condition, the tool is actuated through the control valve 37, to turn the tool and, in the second condition, the valve does not actuate the tool to turn it on as the pressure is vented through bleed valve 3 (see entire document).

What Stratman does not disclose the specific use of and on/off trigger. However,

Wells teaches that it is old and well known in the art to provide a pneumatic tool, which
is comprised of a depth control device 19, with a trigger (no number given, but indicated

Application/Control Number: 10/787,265

Art Unit: 3721

tool.

by handle 15), for the purpose of providing pneumatic power to operate the tool (see Fig.1). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill at the time the invention was made to have provided the invention of Stratman with the trigger means as taught by Wells for the purpose of providing pneumatic power to operate the

In regard to claims 14-16, Stratman discloses the invention as claimed including actuating a switch in the form of stem 18, which is actuated forward in the first position and backwards in the second position, when a predefined condition is met (see entire document).

In regard to claim 17, Stratman discloses the invention as claimed including a predefined condition of drill depth.

Conclusion

- 4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Wandel, Wilmer, Juhasz, Ponitzsch, Graf, Stewart, Wells, Pennison, Casella and Morrison have been cited to show devices having similar structure.
- 5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Paul Durand whose telephone number is 571-272-4459. The examiner can normally be reached on 0730-1800, Monday Thursday.

Application/Control Number: 10/787,265

Art Unit: 3721

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Rinaldi I. Rada can be reached on 571-272-4467. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Paul Durand October 12, 2005

> Stephen F. Gerrity(Primary Examiner